RESPONSE OF THE MANGROVE MUDSNAIL *TEREBRALIA PALUSTRIS* (SINNAEUS) (PROSOBRANCHIA : POTAMIDIDAE) TO DIFFERENT SUBSTRATA

A. V. S. RAMBABU, B. V. PRASAD AND M. BALAPARAMESWARA RAO

Department of Zoology, Nagarjuna University, Nagarjunanagar-522 510

ABSTRACT

The substratum is known to play an important role in the distribution of muddy shore molluses. The mud snail *Terebralia palustris* occurs abundantly in the mangal at Nizampatnam (15° 54' N; 80° 43'E) in the Krishna estuarine region on the east coast of South India. The response of this snail to different types of substrata viz. sand (100%), muddy sand (75% sand and 25% mud), intermediate (50% sand and 50% mud), sandy mud (25% sand and 75% mnd), mud (100%) and plants, was studied. *T. palustris* was found to prefer the substrata with plants. The results are discussed and are compared with those of the other muddy shore forms.

INTRODUCTION

SUBSTRATUM is one of the most important physical factors in influencing the distribution patterns of animals in the coastal waters. Many workers (Brady, 1943; Smith and Newell, 1955; Wieser, 1956; Teal, 1958; Meadows, 1964; Croker, 1967; Sameoto, 1969; Longbottom, 1970; Jones, 1970 : Fenchel, 1975 a ; b ; Maurer et al., 1979) have stressed the effect of substratum on the distribution and abundance of animals. Bacescu (1972) stated that the different kinds of sub strata present a major factor in regard to the horizontal distribution patterns of animals. Though studies on the reaction of temperate molluscs, especially Hydrobia spp. to different substrata, are extensive (Newell, 1962, 1965; Fenchel, 1975 a, b; Fenchel et al., 1975; Barnes, 1979; Barnes and Greenwood, 1978; Wells, 1978; Walters and Wharfe, 1980), very few studies have been carried out on the tropical muddy-shore molluscs (Bala. parameswara Rao and Sukumar, 1981).

Since the data on the response of the intertidal animals, particularly muddy-shore forms to

different types of substrata are useful in understanding their distribution, zonation and abundance, an attempt has been made in the present investigation to study the response of the tropical mud snail *Terebralia palustris* to different types of substrata.

The authors wish to thank Prof. Y. Radhakrishna, Head of the Department of Zoology, Nagarjuna University for facilities and the Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi for financial assistance.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Nizampatnam $(15^{\circ} 54'N; 80^{\circ} 43' E)$ is a major fishing village in the Krishna estuarine region. The physiography of the study area has been described by Balaparameswara Rao and Sukumar (1982). *Terebralia palustris* for the laboratory experiments were obtained from the mud flats at Nizampatnam. The animals were acclimated in the laboratory in glass troughs with natural sediment brought from the ambient environment, for 2-3 days before they were used for the experiments. Substratum preference experiments on T. palustris were carried out by the method outlined by Wells (1978), by studying the ability of the snails to discriminate between different types of substrata by subjecting the animals to different mixtures of natural sediment and to plants of the natural habitat. The plants were used as substratum as T. palustris was found only in areas with mangrove coverage and found on plant stems, in its natural habitat.

Experiments were performed using 6 types of substrata viz. sand (100%), muddy sand (75% sand and 25% mud), intermediate (50% sand and 50% mud), sandy mud (25% sand and 75% mud), mud (100%) and plants. The soil substrata were parepared by mixing (v/v) pure sand (~1.00-0.06 mm) and mud (silt+ clay) (<0.06 mm) collected from the ambient conditions.

The experiments were carried out over an experimental period of 96 hr using plastic troughs (area ~ 1964 sq. cm) and in each trough 6 equal sections were denoted. Substratum samples were placed in each section to about 2 cm height, sea water of salinity ~ $15\%_{o}$ was

added upto a height of 3 cm over the substrata and it was changed every 24 hr. The substratawater temperature during the experiment was $29^{\circ}C \pm 1^{\circ}C$. In each section 10 snails were released. The response of the snails to the substrata was studied by counting their numbers in different sections at the end of 24, 48, 72 and 96 hr.

All the experiments were repeated thrice. The results were pooled and 'analysis of variance' (Bailey, 1959) was followed to test the influence of substratum and time (days) on the movement of snails.

RESULTS

The results of the response of *Terebralia* palustris to different types of substrata are presented in Table 1. The number of *T. palustris* was maximum on the substratum with plants (37.69%) and its number was low in substrata with sand content $\ge 50\%$.

Table 2 shows the results of ANOVA on T. palustris. The high variance ratio for T. palustris (420.295) suggests that the texture

 TABLE 1. Response of Terebraha palustris to a mixture of sand and mud in different proportions and to the presence of plants

	% of sand						
	0	25	of sand 50	75	100	Plants	
Average number of snails	11.62	12.11	7.0	4,42	2.25	22.62	
% of snails	19.36	20,18	11.66	7.37	3.75	37.69	

 TABLE 2. Results of analysis of variance : Influence of substructum and time (days) on the response (movement) of Terebralia palustris

Source of variation	S.S.	d.f.	M.S.	Variance ratio	Result	
Texture of the substratum	1065.8681	5	213.1736	420.295	Highly	
Time (days)	0.0006	3	0,0002	0.00039	significan Not sign	
Residual	7.6080	15	0,5072	_	ficant	

of the substratum has a significant influence on the movement of snails. The movement of the snails, however, was not influenced by time (P>0.05).

DISCUSSION

A correlation between the number of snails and the grade of deposit was observed in Hydrobia spp. by Newell (1965) and Wells (1978) and in the tropical mud snail Telescopium telescopium by Rambabu and Balaparameswara Rao (1986). Texture of the substratum seems to be an important factor in determining the distribution pattern of T. palustris also. Substratum preference experiments have shown that T. palustris prefers substrata with high percentage of mud (50-100%). Newell (1965) attributed the differences in the abundance of Hydrobia ulvae on different substrata to the feeding habits of the snails. He suggested that the micro-organisms such as bacteria on which H. ulvae feeds increased with finer sediments and related the abundance of microorganisms to nitrogen values in the sediment. The occurrence of high organic nitrogen in

finer grade deposits was reported by Longbottom (1970). The density of T. palustris in the field was found to be high at stations where the organic matter in the soil was high (1.17%-2.8%).

A relationship between the occurrence of H. ulvae and macrophytes was observed by Spooner and Moore (1940) and Holme (1949). Nicol (1936) noted that the snail was often found in association with Enteromorpha, which may feature in its diet. In the present investigation also T. palustris showed a relationship with mangroves. The number of T, palustris was maximum on substratum with plants (37.69%). In the field also it was found on vegetation and was abundant in areas of dense mangrove vegetation (Rambabu, 1986). At present the reasons for this relationship are not known. Next to plants, T. palustris showed preference for the finer sediments (75% mud) (20.18%)snails). Macnae and Kalk (1962) while studying the ecology of mangrove swamps at Inhaca Island, Moçambique, found that T. palustris feeds on microflora and organic content of the upper layers of the soil.

REFERENCES

BACESCU, M. C. 1972. Substratum. In: O. Kinne to sediments of differing particle size. J. Exp. Mar. (Eq.) Marine Ecology. Wiley-Interscience. Vol. I, Biol. Ecol., 31: 43-54. part 3.

BAILEY, N. T. J. 1959. Statistical methods in biology. The English Language Book Society and the English Universities Press Limited, pp. 200.

BALAPARAMESWARA RAO, M. AND R. V. SUKUMAR 1981. The response of a tropical estuarine gastropod *Cerithidea cingulata* (Gmelin), to different types of substrata. *Hydrobiologia*, 78: 191-193.

-- 1982. Distribution, zona-AND tion and habits of a tropical mud snail Cerithidea cingulata (Gmelin) (Mollusca : Gastropoda). Mala-cologia, 22 : 553-558.

BARNES, R. S. K. 1979. Intrapopulation variation ir Hydrobia sediment pro.erences. Estuarine and Coastal Marine Science, 9: 231-234.

AND J. G. GREENWOOD 1978. The response of the intertidal gastropod Hydrobia ulvae (Pennant)

BRADY, F. 1943. The distribution of the fauna of some intertidal sands and muds on the Northumberland Coast. J. Anim. Ecol., 12 ; 27-41.

CROKER, R. H. 1967. Niche diversity in five sympathtic species of intertidal amphipods (Crustacea : Haustoriidae). Ecol. Mongr., 37 : 173-200.

FENCHEL, T. 1975 a. Factors determining the distribution patterns of mud snails (Hydrobiidae). Oecologia (Berl.), 20: 1-17.

- 1975 b. Character displacement and coexistence in mud snails (Hydrobiidae), Ibid., 20: 19-32,

-, L. H. KAFOED AND A. LAPPALAINEN 1975, Particle size selection of two deposit feeders : the amphipod Corophium voluator and the prosobranch Hydrobia ulvae. Marine Biology, 30 : 119-128.

HOLME, N. A. 1949. The fauna of sand and mud

banks near the mouth of the Exe Estuary. J. Mar. and Terebralia palustris (Linnacus) (Prosobranchia : Biol. Ass. U. K., 28 : 189-237. Potamididae). Ph. D. Thesis, Nagarjuna University,

JONES, D. A. 1970. Factors affecting the distribution of the intertidal isopod: Eurydice pulchra Leach and E. affinis Hansen in Britair. J. Ant. Ecol., 39: 455-472.

LONGBOTTOM, M. R. 1970. The distribution of Arenicola marina (L.) with particular reference to the effects of particle size and organic matter of the sediments. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 5: 138-157.

MACNAE, W. AND M. KALK 1962. The ecology of the mangrove swamps at Inhaca Island, Moc ambique. Journal of Ecology, 50: 19-34.

MAURER, D., W. LEATHEM, P. KINNER AND J. TINSMAN 1979. Seasonal fluctuations in coastal benthic inverte-brate assemblages, *Estuarine and Coastal Marine* Science, 8: 181-193.

MEADOWS, P. S. 1964. Substrate selection by Corophium species; the particle size of substrata. J. Ani. Ecol. 33: 387-394.

NEWELL, R. 1962. Behavioural aspects of the ecology of *Peringia* (=Hydrobia) ulvae (Pennant), (Gastropoda, Prosobranchia). *Proc. Zool. Soc. London*, 138 · 49-75.

1965. The role of detritus in the nutrition of two marine deposit feeders, the prosobranch Hydrobia ulvae and the bivalve Macoma balthica. Ibid., 144: 25-45.

NICOL, E. A. T. 1936. The brackishwater lochs of North Uist. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, 56: 169-195.

RAMBABU, A. V. S. 1986. Studies on some aspects of ecology of the mangrove molluses at Nizampainam in the Krishna estuarine region, South India, with special reference to *Telescopium telescopium* (Linnaeus) Cumacea). Limnol. Oceanogr., 1: 274-285.

pp. 287.

AND M. BALAPARAMESWARA RAU 1986. Response of the mangrove mud snail Telescopium Response of the mangrove mud snail Telescopium telescopium (Linnaeus) (Prosobranchia : Potamididae) to different types of substrata. (Paper presented at the National Seminar on 'Perspectives in Hydro-biology' held at Vikram University, Ujjain, 8-10 February, 1986, Abstract No. 104).

SAMEOTO, D. D. 1969. Physiological tolerances and behaviour responses of five species of Haustoriidae (Amphipoda : Crustacea) to five environmental factors. J. Fish Res. Bd. Can., 26 : 2283-2298.

SMITH AND NEWELL 1955. The dynamics of the Zonation of the common periwincle (Littorina littorea (I..) on a sandy beach. J. Ani. Ecol., 24: 35-56.

SPOONER, G. M. AND H. B. MOORE 1940. The ecology of the Tamer Estuary VI. An account of the Macrofauna of the intertidal muds. J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K., 24 : 283-330.

TEAL, J. M. 1958. Distribution of fidter crabs in Georgia salt marshes. *Ecology*, **39**: 186-193.

WALTERS, G. J. AND J. R. WHARFE 1980. Distri-bution and abundance of Hydrobia ulvae (Pannant) in the lower Medway Estuary, Kent. Journal of Molluscan Studies, 46 : 171-180.

WELLS, F. E. 1978. The relationship between environmental variables and the density of the mud snail Hydrobia tottent in a Nova Scotia salt marsh. Ibid., 44 (1) : 120-129.